

**ROCK RIVER WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT
ADDENDUM NO. 1
TO THE INVITATION TO BID #21-206
SECURITY SERVICES**

January 29, 2021

AD1-1 Notice

This Addendum No. 1, dated January 29, 2021, to the Invitation to Bid: Security Services, supersedes all contrary and conflicting information in the above-mentioned instructions, specifications, and contract documents which are hereby supplemented or revised in certain particulars as follows:

AD1-2 General Information

The District submits Addendum #1 to provide the list of attendees at the 2:00 p.m., January 27, 2021 Mandatory Meeting, to answer questions, and make clarifications.

Name	Company	Location
Ryan Bejot, Tim Kilfoy	Per Mar	Loves Park, IL
Chris Magnus	GardaWorld	Chicago, IL
Darwin Chavez	Vets Securing America	
Chuck Carter	Stewart & Assoc	Rockford, IL
Dennis Wysong	Online Security/Metro Enforcement	Rockford, IL
James McGovern	Secure One Security Services	Crestwood, IL
Mallorie Heyl	Allied Universal	Columbus, OH
Dawn Pet	H.L.S.A.	Chicago, IL

AD1-3 Questions & Answers

Question 1: Will bidders have an opportunity to present their proposals at any point or are decisions made solely off of the submitted bid packet?

Answer 1: This bid does not include a presentation; award recommendation is based on responsive, responsible bidder with the lowest 3-year combined total.

Question 2: Can your group confirm that the current security officer contract falls under the State of Illinois Prevailing Wage Act and that health and welfare benefits are being paid by the current provider?

Answer 2: It is the perspective of the District that the duties of a Security Guard as defined in this bid, do not perform duties defined in the Illinois Prevailing Wage Act as public works. It is Vendor's obligation to understand what the Act requires and to comply accordingly. *Failure on the part of the District to provide proper written notice regarding the applicability of the Prevailing Wage Act does not relieve Vendor or subcontractors of the obligation to comply with the Act when applicable, nor does it relieve them of their obligation to pay back wages when owed.*

Question 3: If the current security officer contract does fall under the State of Illinois Prevailing Wage Act, does the stated current bill rate include the health and welfare benefits or are they billed separately?

Answer 3: N/A

Question 4: If the current contract does not fall under the State of Illinois Prevailing Wage Act, will the current RFP and eventual new security officer contract fall under this Act?

Answer 4: N/A

Question 5: How are the alarm systems referenced in the RFP currently monitored?

Answer 5: The alarm systems are monitored by the officer in the guard shack.

Question 6: Does the current provider monitor or is it another provider?

Answer 6: The current provider monitors.

Question 7: Are there any minimum monitoring station certifications required such as URL listed or Five Diamond Certifications?

Answer7: No.

Question 8: Does the alarm monitoring provider need to have any type of central station?

Answer 8: No, we have a guard shack at 3333 Kishwaukee St. that is the centralized location.

Question 9: How are the alarm systems referenced in the RFP currently responded to?

Answer 9: The alarm system is responded to by Security Pro Intel after they are alerted by the officer in the guard shack about the alarm.

Question 10: Does the current security officer provider provide alarm response?

Answer 10: No, Security Pro Intel responds.

AD1-4 Clarification

Section III, 3.10 Wages: Contractor must pay wages equal to or exceeding the applicable federal minimum wage rate or 1.2 X IL minimum wage rate, whichever is higher.

Bidder shall include with his bid a copy of this addendum initialed at the bottom as acknowledgment of receipt.

_____ Bidder's Initials